General Information (Origin of Request)

☐ User Requirements (URD)
☒ Other User Functional or Technical Documentation (SYS)

Request raised by: Migration Sub-group	**Institute:** ECB	**Date raised:** 02/06/2015
Request title: Data Migration Tool (DMT) specifications update of the rules for cash payment-related fields		**Request ref. no:** T2S 0524 SYS
Request type: Common		**Urgency:** Normal
1. Legal/business importance parameter: Low		**2. Market implementation efforts parameter:** Low
3. Operational/Technical risk parameter: Low		**4. Financial impact parameter:** No cost impact
Requestor Category: T2S Sub-group		**Status:** Implemented

Reason for change and expected benefits/business motivation:

The definition of the fields "Debit/Credit Code", "Currency" and "Settlement Amount" in the Data Migration Tool (DMT) is not correct as it allows creating Settlement Instructions with inconsistent data not in line with A2A and U2A specifications.

The inconsistency relates to (typically) Against Payment fields when they are used for information in Free of payment instructions (i.e. FOP instruction informing about cash payment to be performed outside T2S).

Provided that the DMT allows Users to send to T2S Settlement Instructions with inconsistent information as regards the against payment attributes mentioned above, T2S cannot create the subsequent status message notifications due to an ISO message schema validation error.

In order to solve this problem, the DMT specifications should be aligned with the relevant A2A (sese.023 ISO message) and U2A (Settlement Instruction New screen) specifications.

―――

Description of requested change:

Data Migration Tool and its related documentation should be updated to include the relevant specifications to align the Cash Payment related fields of a Settlement instruction with the A2A/U2A specifications.

―――

Submitted annexes / related documents:

DMT File format specifications v1.2.4

―――

Proposed wording for the Change request:

The DMT File format specifications section 3.1.3.3 should be updated as follows:

42	AP	Settlement Amount	DEC(14,5)		Must occur when Payment Type Code is 'APMT'. *"For the triplet currency – credit/debit code - Settlement amount, all of them are optional for FOP instructions. However as soon as one of them is filled in, the other two become mandatory. (I.e. In case of payment Type Code is 'FREE', the field 'Settlement Amount' is optional, but if it is filled in, the fields 'Currency' and 'Credit/Debit Indicator' must be informed too. Therefore, in case any of the three APMT related fields is filled in, the three of them must be filled in together)"*	0..1	

| 43 | AQ | Currency | CHAR(3) | | Must occur when Payment Type Code is 'APMT'. _"For the triplet currency – credit/debit code - Settlement amount, all of them are optional for FOP instructions. However as soon as one of them is filled in, the other two become mandatory. (I.e. In case of payment Type Code is 'FREE', the field 'Currency' is optional, but if it is filled in, the fields 'Settlement Amount' and 'Credit/Debit Indicator' must be informed too. Therefore, in case any of the three APMT related fields is filled in, the three of them must be filled in together)"_ | 0..1 | |
| 44 | AR | Credit/Debit Indicator | Possible values:
• CRDT
• DBIT | Credit/Debit code:
• CRDT = Credit
• DBIT = Debit | Must occur when Payment Type Code is 'APMT'. _"For the triplet currency – credit/debit code - Settlement amount, all of them are optional for FOP instructions. However as soon as one of them is filled in, the other two become mandatory. (I.e. In case of payment Type Code is 'FREE', the field 'Debit/Credit Indicator' is optional, but if it is filled in, the fields 'Currency' and 'Settlement Amount' must be informed too. Therefore, in case any of the three APMT related fields is filled in, the three of them must be filled in together)_ | 0..1 | |

_____

High level description of Impact:

_____

Outcome/Decisions:

* MSG on 01-02 June 2015: The Migration Sub-group agreed to submit the Change Request to the Change Review Group.

* CRG meeting on 18 June 2015: The CRG recommended the approval of the Change Request in a written procedure from 11 to 18 June 2015.

* OMG on 26 June 2015: During a written procedure from 19 to 26 June 2015, the Operations Managers Group did not identify any operational impact of the Change Request.

* Advisory Group's advice on 2 July 2015: Following a written procedure from 26 June to 2 July 2015, the AG was in favour of the Change Request.

* CSG's resolution on 3 July 2015: Following a written procedure from 26 June to 3 July 2015, the CSG adopted the resolution to approve the Change Request.