Discussion of

'Asset Purchases in a Monetary Union with Default and Liquidity Risks'

Huixin Bi, Andrew Foerster and Nora Traum

Anna Rogantini Picco European Central Bank and CEPR

6th ECB biennial conference on "Fiscal Policy and EMU Governance"

The opinions expressed in this presentation are the sole responsibility of the author and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the European Central Bank.

+ Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:

- + Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:
 - 1. Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) in 2012

- + Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:
 - 1. Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) in 2012
 - 2. Pandemic Emergency Purchase Programme (PEPP) in 2020

- + Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:
 - 1. Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) in 2012
 - 2. Pandemic Emergency Purchase Programme (PEPP) in 2020
 - 3. Transmission Protection Instrument (TPI) in 2022

- + Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:
 - 1. Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) in 2012
 - 2. Pandemic Emergency Purchase Programme (PEPP) in 2020
 - 3. Transmission Protection Instrument (TPI) in 2022
- + Some have been activated, others have only been announced

- + Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:
 - 1. Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) in 2012
 - 2. Pandemic Emergency Purchase Programme (PEPP) in 2020
 - 3. Transmission Protection Instrument (TPI) in 2022
- $+\,$ Some have been activated, others have only been announced
- $+\,$ In all cases, the challenge is to understand:

- + Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:
 - 1. Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) in 2012
 - 2. Pandemic Emergency Purchase Programme (PEPP) in 2020
 - 3. Transmission Protection Instrument (TPI) in 2022
- $+\,$ Some have been activated, others have only been announced
- $+\,$ In all cases, the challenge is to understand:
 - How sovereign risk and liquidity risk interact and reinforce each other

- + Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:
 - 1. Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) in 2012
 - 2. Pandemic Emergency Purchase Programme (PEPP) in 2020
 - 3. Transmission Protection Instrument (TPI) in 2022
- + Some have been activated, others have only been announced
- + In all cases, the challenge is to understand:
 - How sovereign risk and liquidity risk interact and reinforce each other
 - How asset purchases by the central bank can facilitate a smooth transmission of monetary policy when these risks are at play

- + Prompted by crises of various nature, the ECB has put in place a variety of policy tools involving sovereign bond purchases:
 - 1. Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) in 2012
 - 2. Pandemic Emergency Purchase Programme (PEPP) in 2020
 - 3. Transmission Protection Instrument (TPI) in 2022
- + Some have been activated, others have only been announced
- + In all cases, the challenge is to understand:
 - How sovereign risk and liquidity risk interact and reinforce each other
 - How asset purchases by the central bank can facilitate a smooth transmission of monetary policy when these risks are at play

This paper an extremely timely contribution to this policy debate!

1. Jointly model default & liquidity risk in two-country monetary union

• Default risk as an endogenous regime-switching process depending on fiscal and macro fundamentals

- Default risk as an endogenous regime-switching process depending on fiscal and macro fundamentals
- Liquidity risk stemming from financial market segmentation and constrained intermediaries

- Default risk as an endogenous regime-switching process depending on fiscal and macro fundamentals
- Liquidity risk stemming from financial market segmentation and constrained intermediaries
- 2. Quantitively assess relative importance of the two channels in response to exogenous rise in government debt

- Default risk as an endogenous regime-switching process depending on fiscal and macro fundamentals
- Liquidity risk stemming from financial market segmentation and constrained intermediaries
- 2. Quantitively assess relative importance of the two channels in response to exogenous rise in government debt
 - Direct effect of sovereign risk is moderate

- Default risk as an endogenous regime-switching process depending on fiscal and macro fundamentals
- Liquidity risk stemming from financial market segmentation and constrained intermediaries
- 2. Quantitively assess relative importance of the two channels in response to exogenous rise in government debt
 - Direct effect of sovereign risk is moderate
 - Bigger amplification stems from liquidity risk

- Default risk as an endogenous regime-switching process depending on fiscal and macro fundamentals
- Liquidity risk stemming from financial market segmentation and constrained intermediaries
- 2. Quantitively assess relative importance of the two channels in response to exogenous rise in government debt
 - Direct effect of sovereign risk is moderate
 - Bigger amplification stems from liquidity risk
- 3. Evaluate effect of sovereign debt purchases by central bank

- Default risk as an endogenous regime-switching process depending on fiscal and macro fundamentals
- Liquidity risk stemming from financial market segmentation and constrained intermediaries
- 2. Quantitively assess relative importance of the two channels in response to exogenous rise in government debt
 - Direct effect of sovereign risk is moderate
 - Bigger amplification stems from liquidity risk
- 3. Evaluate effect of sovereign debt purchases by central bank
 - Decline in government bond prices is mitigated and the amplification effect stemming from liquidity risk is dampened

- Default risk as an endogenous regime-switching process depending on fiscal and macro fundamentals
- Liquidity risk stemming from financial market segmentation and constrained intermediaries
- 2. Quantitively assess relative importance of the two channels in response to exogenous rise in government debt
 - Direct effect of sovereign risk is moderate
 - Bigger amplification stems from liquidity risk
- 3. Evaluate effect of sovereign debt purchases by central bank
 - Decline in government bond prices is mitigated and the amplification effect stemming from liquidity risk is dampened
 - Effective at stabilising economy especially in presence of liquidity risk

• Zoom in on main ingredients

- Zoom in on main ingredients
- Comment 1: a model for OMT/TPI?

- Zoom in on main ingredients
- Comment 1: a model for OMT/TPI?
- Comment 2: which direction of propagation?

- Zoom in on main ingredients
- Comment 1: a model for OMT/TPI?
- Comment 2: which direction of propagation?
- Comment 3: spillover effects across countries

Endogenous default risk

$+\,$ In each period Home government may default

Bi (2012) and Bi and Traum (2014)

Endogenous default risk

$+\,$ In each period Home government may default

Bi (2012) and Bi and Traum (2014)

+ There is a haircut if debt-to-GDP ratio s_{t-1} above threshold B_t^* :

$$\Delta_t = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } extsf{s_{t-1}} < B_t^* \ \delta_b & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Endogenous default risk

+ In each period Home government may default

```
Bi (2012) and Bi and Traum (2014)
```

+ There is a haircut if debt-to-GDP ratio s_{t-1} above threshold B_t^* :

$$\Delta_t = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } oldsymbol{s_{t-1}} < B^*_t \ \delta_b & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

+ Default probability depends on macro shock o_t & debt-to-GDP ratio:

$$P(s_{t-1} \ge B_t^*) = \frac{\exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}{1 + \exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}$$

+ Financial intermediaries face an agency problem:

Gertler and Karadi (2011) and Sims and Wu (2021)

 V_t

 $\geq \underbrace{\eta_t(Q_t^f f_t^i + Q_t^b b_t^i)}_{\eta_t(Q_t^f f_t^i + Q_t^b b_t^i)}$

value of intermediary funds that can be diverted

+ Financial intermediaries face an agency problem:

Gertler and Karadi (2011) and Sims and Wu (2021)



+ Interpret η_t as credit tightness. Make it function of default prob.:

$$\eta_t = \bar{\eta} \left(1 + \phi_\eta \underbrace{\frac{\exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}{1 + \exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}}_{\text{default probability}} \right)$$

+ Financial intermediaries face an agency problem:

Gertler and Karadi (2011) and Sims and Wu (2021)



+ Interpret η_t as credit tightness. Make it function of default prob.:

$$\eta_t = \bar{\eta} \left(1 + \phi_\eta \underbrace{\frac{\exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}{1 + \exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}}_{\text{default probability}} \right)$$

+ If:

$$\phi_\eta igg\{ = 0 \quad \text{no liquidity risk channel} \\ > 0 \quad \text{liquidity risk channel active} \end{cases}$$

+ Financial intermediaries face an agency problem:

Gertler and Karadi (2011) and Sims and Wu (2021)



+ Interpret η_t as credit tightness. Make it function of default prob.:

$$\eta_t = \bar{\eta} \left(1 + \phi_\eta \underbrace{\frac{\exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}{1 + \exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}}_{\text{default probability}} \right)$$

+ If:

 $\phi_\eta \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{no liquidity risk channel} \\ > 0 & \text{liquidity risk channel active} \end{cases}$

Liquidity risk channel amplifies sovereign default risk (Bocola, 2016)

Discussion of 'Asset Purchases in a Monetary Union with Default and Liquidity Risks'

TPI: '... the Eurosystem will be able to make secondary market purchases of securities issued in jurisdictions experiencing a deterioration in financing conditions not warranted by country-specific fundamentals, to counter risks to the transmission mechanism to the extent necessary.'

TPI: '... the Eurosystem will be able to make secondary market purchases of securities issued in jurisdictions experiencing a deterioration in financing conditions not warranted by country-specific fundamentals, to counter risks to the transmission mechanism to the extent necessary.'

+ Even if hard to identify the cause of deterioration in financing condition in practice, it can be done in a model

TPI: '... the Eurosystem will be able to make secondary market purchases of securities issued in jurisdictions experiencing a deterioration in financing conditions not warranted by country-specific fundamentals, to counter risks to the transmission mechanism to the extent necessary.'

- + Even if hard to identify the cause of deterioration in financing condition in practice, it can be done in a model
- + Amplification channel activated in response to macro or fiscal shock:

$$\eta_t = \bar{\eta} \left(1 + \phi_\eta \underbrace{\frac{\exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 \mathbf{s_{t-1}})}{1 + \exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 \mathbf{s_{t-1}})}}_{\text{default probability}} \right)$$

TPI: '... the Eurosystem will be able to make secondary market purchases of securities issued in jurisdictions experiencing a deterioration in financing conditions not warranted by country-specific fundamentals, to counter risks to the transmission mechanism to the extent necessary.'

- + Even if hard to identify the cause of deterioration in financing condition in practice, it can be done in a model
- + Amplification channel activated in response to macro or fiscal shock:

$$\eta_t = \bar{\eta} \left(1 + \phi_\eta \underbrace{\frac{\exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}{1 + \exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}}_{\text{default probability}} \right)$$

+ An exogenous increase in s_{t-1} could equally capture an increase in debt-to-GDP driven by fundamentals as well as beliefs (Corsetti and Dedola, 2016)

TPI: '... the Eurosystem will be able to make secondary market purchases of securities issued in jurisdictions experiencing a deterioration in financing conditions not warranted by country-specific fundamentals, to counter risks to the transmission mechanism to the extent necessary.'

- + Even if hard to identify the cause of deterioration in financing condition in practice, it can be done in a model
- + Amplification channel activated in response to macro or fiscal shock:

$$\eta_t = \bar{\eta} \left(1 + \phi_\eta \underbrace{\frac{\exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}{1 + \exp(\eta^0 + \eta^1 o_t + \eta^2 s_{t-1})}}_{\text{default probability}} \right)$$

- + An exogenous increase in s_{t-1} could equally capture an increase in debt-to-GDP driven by fundamentals as well as beliefs (Corsetti and Dedola, 2016)
- + Could the two be disentangled? Mere announcement of OMT sufficient to rule out belief-driven fluctuations

Discussion of 'Asset Purchases in a Monetary Union with Default and Liquidity Risks'

 $+\,$ Now you focus on the amplification of sovereign risk via an endogenous tightening in credit markets

- + Now you focus on the amplification of sovereign risk via an endogenous tightening in credit markets
- + Depending on what crisis you have in mind, your model allows you to also think about the opposite direction of amplification

- + Now you focus on the amplification of sovereign risk via an endogenous tightening in credit markets
- + Depending on what crisis you have in mind, your model allows you to also think about the opposite direction of amplification
- $+\,$ You already have all the ingredients to do so:

- + Now you focus on the amplification of sovereign risk via an endogenous tightening in credit markets
- + Depending on what crisis you have in mind, your model allows you to also think about the opposite direction of amplification
- + You already have all the ingredients to do so:
 - A credit tightening triggers a contraction in the economy

- + Now you focus on the amplification of sovereign risk via an endogenous tightening in credit markets
- + Depending on what crisis you have in mind, your model allows you to also think about the opposite direction of amplification
- + You already have all the ingredients to do so:
 - A credit tightening triggers a contraction in the economy
 - Sovereign default risk depends on debt-to-GDP ratio

- + Now you focus on the amplification of sovereign risk via an endogenous tightening in credit markets
- + Depending on what crisis you have in mind, your model allows you to also think about the opposite direction of amplification
- + You already have all the ingredients to do so:
 - A credit tightening triggers a contraction in the economy
 - Sovereign default risk depends on debt-to-GDP ratio
 - Because of endogenous default risk, probability of default increases

- + Now you focus on the amplification of sovereign risk via an endogenous tightening in credit markets
- + Depending on what crisis you have in mind, your model allows you to also think about the opposite direction of amplification
- + You already have all the ingredients to do so:
 - A credit tightening triggers a contraction in the economy
 - Sovereign default risk depends on debt-to-GDP ratio
 - Because of endogenous default risk, probability of default increases
- + This direction of propagation would capture how a credit crunch increases sovereign default risk

 $\,+\,$ In current setup, the two countries are connected as follows:

 $\bullet~\mbox{Imports} \to C$ and I baskets made of domestic and imported goods

- $\bullet~\mbox{Imports} \to C$ and I baskets made of domestic and imported goods
- There is a one-period nominal bond traded across countries

- $\bullet~\mbox{Imports} \to C$ and I baskets made of domestic and imported goods
- There is a one-period nominal bond traded across countries
- $+\,$ This allows you to look at some spillovers of Home country default risk to Foreign country

- $\bullet~\mbox{Imports} \to C$ and I baskets made of domestic and imported goods
- There is a one-period nominal bond traded across countries
- + This allows you to look at some spillovers of Home country default risk to Foreign country
- $+\,$ Yet, think of euro area sovereign debt crisis: exposure via banking sector

- $\bullet~$ Imports \rightarrow C and I baskets made of domestic and imported goods
- There is a one-period nominal bond traded across countries
- + This allows you to look at some spillovers of Home country default risk to Foreign country
- + Yet, think of euro area sovereign debt crisis: exposure via banking sector
- + Why not model this spillover directly by having intermediaries of Foreign country directly exposed to Home sovereign bonds?

- $\bullet~$ Imports \rightarrow C and I baskets made of domestic and imported goods
- There is a one-period nominal bond traded across countries
- + This allows you to look at some spillovers of Home country default risk to Foreign country
- + Yet, think of euro area sovereign debt crisis: exposure via banking sector
- + Why not model this spillover directly by having intermediaries of Foreign country directly exposed to Home sovereign bonds?
- + Model intermediaries also in Foreign country. Assume:

$$V_t^F \geq \underbrace{\eta_t^F}_{\text{credit tightness}} \left(Q_t^{f,F} f_t^F + Q_t^{b,F} b_t^F + + Q_t^{b,H} b_t^H \right)$$

- + A model that features:
 - 1. Endogenous sovereign risk

- + A model that features:
 - 1. Endogenous sovereign risk
 - 2. Liquidity risk channel that amplifies sovereign risk

- + A model that features:
 - $1. \ \ {\sf Endogenous \ sovereign \ risk}$
 - 2. Liquidity risk channel that amplifies sovereign risk
 - 3. Technically challenging to solve

- + A model that features:
 - 1. Endogenous sovereign risk
 - 2. Liquidity risk channel that amplifies sovereign risk
 - 3. Technically challenging to solve
- + Use your model as a laboratory to think more about which crisis triggered by which shock:

- + A model that features:
 - 1. Endogenous sovereign risk
 - 2. Liquidity risk channel that amplifies sovereign risk
 - 3. Technically challenging to solve
- + Use your model as a laboratory to think more about which crisis triggered by which shock:
 - 1. Driven by macro fundamentals or by shift in beliefs

- + A model that features:
 - $1. \ \ {\sf Endogenous \ sovereign \ risk}$
 - 2. Liquidity risk channel that amplifies sovereign risk
 - 3. Technically challenging to solve
- + Use your model as a laboratory to think more about which crisis triggered by which shock:
 - 1. Driven by macro fundamentals or by shift in beliefs
 - 2. Originated in the sovereign debt market or in the private credit market (there is amplification in either direction)

- + A model that features:
 - $1. \ \ {\sf Endogenous \ sovereign \ risk}$
 - 2. Liquidity risk channel that amplifies sovereign risk
 - 3. Technically challenging to solve
- + Use your model as a laboratory to think more about which crisis triggered by which shock:
 - 1. Driven by macro fundamentals or by shift in beliefs
 - 2. Originated in the sovereign debt market or in the private credit market (there is amplification in either direction)
 - 3. How it spills over from one country to the other